

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** SERIAL NUMBER 26105FLFGRM GREGORY 11/22/93 08/156,562 EXAMINER 35M1/0206 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE P.O. BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 3507 DATE MAILED: 02/06/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS 0.5 This action is made final. Responsive to communication filed on This application has been examined days from the date of this letter. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____ month(s). Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 3. L 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. 1. Claims are withdrawn from consideration. . Of the above, claims have been cancelled. 2. Claims 3. Claims Claims are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. ____, The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____ are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (See explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 100 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received _ ; filed on _ ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 0**8**/156562

Art Unit: 3507

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: that of figure 1; that of figures 2 and 3; that of figures 4 and 5; that of figure 6; that of figures 7 and 8; that of figure 9; and that of figures 10A and 10B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,18 and 32 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in

Serial Number: 08/156562

Art Unit: 3507

compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

PETER R. BROWN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 357

prb February 3, 1995